

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. R. BSC011 09/02/97 CROWLEY 08/922,263

QM12/1019

TESTA HURWITZ & THIBEAULT HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET BOSTON MA 02110

EX	AMINER
SHAY,D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3739	

DATE MAILED:

10/19/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) Is extended to run	or continues to run 3 mm the date of the final rejection
b) a expires three months from the date of the event however, will the statutory period	he final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
The date on which the response, the per purposes of determining the period of e	by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. tition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the stension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, fi to place the application in condition for allow	led <u>¶/30/99</u> has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed vance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim	and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing unpresented. 	nder 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would	require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter	r. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the appeal.	e application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims wi	thout cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: combined limitation	of opelarmo 2 and 13 and inclusion of llus are allfold
Newly proposed or amended claims the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed be as follows:	amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed:	the following rejection(s):
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for recon	nsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
The affidavit or exhibit will not be consider presented.	ered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has	has not been approved by the examiner.
Other	DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330